

$\frac{POLYESTER}{FABRICATION} \frac{PRODUCTS}{FABRICATION}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 1030455 DATE: 9/6/2006 FACILITY NAME: BEACHCAT BOATS, INC. FACILITY LOCATION: 120 Pickney Street OLDSMAR 34677 RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: ROBERT HARWOOD CONTACT NAME: ROBERT HARWOOD	ARRIVE: 11:21 AM DEPART: 12:00PM PHONE: (813)855-1413 PHONE: (
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLE	MENT PERIOD: 5/30/2004 / 5/30/2009 (effective date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
and emissions units which are exempt from permitti 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempt (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)5.a., F.A.C.)	han the polyester resin plastic products fabrication units ing pursuant to the criteria of paragraph pted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.?	

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Does the owner or operator voluntarily encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in product fabrication on methods of reducing evaporative losses by: a) lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces to the air?		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? Yes ✓ Yes		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		
SHEA JACKSON	September 6, 2006	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	9/1/2007	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: Inspection Findings:		
COMMENTS: The Responsible official Robert Harwood w	vas not on site. I toured the facility with his foreman Raphael Lirando.	

An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:

Downwind odor detected- Mild (1); Wind direction – Northwest Upwind odor detected- None. The styrene odor level was mild inside the facility building. There was no recent lamination of parts in process. This is open 2 structures warehouse facility. The containers were covered. The parts were drying at time of inspection The facility was in process of lamination of one beachcat boat, hull and parts. There were no other emission units observed on site. (See photos)

The records were not available at this time, Mr. Lirando stated he did not have key to access office and records. I requested he inform Mr. Harwood he would need to fax the records to the A.Q office by next day. He called him on cell phone, and I spoke to Mr. Harwood. He stated he was in new Jersey on vacation and would send fax the record log to our office when he returned. I informed the him that the records should be available at all times for the inspector, when on site.

The faxed record log was received 9/11/2006. The highest reported consecutive twelve-month total was 47,414 lbs for the month of June 2006_. The 12 month consecutive totals were reviewed records for the months of July 2004 through July 2006. The partial copy of the records is attached as an example of the record format. There were no exceedances of the 12-month limit of 76,000 lbs for the records reviewed. The permit does not expire and the facility is within permit limits for resin usage, below the 38tons/12month total. There are no changes at this time. There were no non-compliance issues observed at the time of inspection. The permit expires on 05/30/09. A new notification form would be required to be submitted no later than 03/31/09. This in non applicable at this time.

I gave Mr. Lirando the P2 brochure and pamphlet for fiberglass waste management to give to Mr. Harwood.